ECCLESIA LAW

Private Ecclesiastical Counsel
United States & International

WHY PSEUDO-LAW, COMMON-LAW BELIEF SYSTEMS, AND "GOD'S LAW" MOVEMENTS FAIL

and Why the Republic of Old Souls' Peaceful Protocols Succeed

---

1. Executive Overview

Across the UK, US, Canada, Australia, and Europe, thousands follow pseudo-law or "sovereign citizen" teaching: that one may defeat statutory jurisdiction by invoking common law, divine law, "living man/woman" claims, affidavits, or UCC notices. These approaches share one characteristic:

They fail — consistently and predictably — because they attempt to defeat the Crown *within its own jurisdiction*.

Ecclesia Law's position, supported by direct operational evidence, doctrinal jurisprudence, and the comparative research contained in your files, is clear:

- **Pseudo-law challenges the system; the system retaliates.**
- **The Republic of Old Souls does not challenge the system; it exits it.**
  It achieves this by:
  - severing agency between the living soul and the body corporate,
  - repositioning jurisdiction through ecclesiastical envoy status,
  - invoking executor authority under the Cestui Que Vie Acts,
  - using trust law and international law rather than adversarial confrontation.

This distinction is why pseudo-law groups fail — and why ROS members obtain peaceful remedy.

---

2. Why Pseudo-Law and Common-Law Movements Fail in Courts

Across movements such as Robin Hood, Clive Edwards, Peace Officers UK, Common Law Court, Anna von Reitz, and Brandon J. Williams, several patterns repeat.

Ecclesia Law has examined these failures in detail, including transcripts and competitor analyses.

2.1 They Misunderstand the Doctrine of Legal Persons

Pseudo-law assumes:

- "The ALL-CAPS name isn't me."
- "I am not the legal person."
- "If I refuse consent, jurisdiction disappears."

But the **Doctrine of Legal Persons in English Law** is clear:

- Natural and artificial persons are distinct, yes —
- **But statutory jurisdiction attaches to the *artificial person* whether the natural person consents or not.**
  (See *Doctrine of Legal Persons*, pp.1–5.)

Courts ignore pseudo-law arguments because they are *jurisprudentially irrelevant* inside Crown jurisdiction.

2.2 They Attempt to Use Common Law in Statutory Courts

Pseudo-law practitioners frequently declare:

- "This is a common-law court."
- "I invoke Article 3 / Magna Carta / natural law."
- "Statute doesn't apply unless I consent."

However, **statutory courts apply statutory jurisdiction**, and no amount of "opting out" displaces the statutory foundation of HMCTS or CPS proceedings.

Even Robin Hood admits the system is corporate but still tries to defeat it **from inside it** — which is structurally impossible.

2.3 They Misinterpret Agency and the Birth Certificate

Pseudo-law claims:

- "The birth certificate is a contract."
- "I never agreed to be the legal person."
- "I remove consent."

This is incorrect.

As *Agency Between Natural and Artificial Persons* explains, agency is presumed by operation of law **until it is rebutted through a lawful mechanism**, not rejected by declaration.

Pseudo-law tries to rebut agency using:

- affidavits
- common-law notices
- UCC filings
- "I do not consent" statements

None of these rebut the presumption.

ROS, by contrast, **uses the 98-Series Trust architecture to sever agency structurally**, lawfully, and permanently through a recognised trust relationship.
(See *Why the 98-Series Trust Is the Key…*)

2.4 They Rely on Unrecognised Jurisdictions

Examples:

- **Common Law Court**
- **Albion Court of Record**

- **People's Court of Terra Australis**
- **Capillary Wave jurisdictions**
- **Ecclesiastical affidavits not tied to a real ecclesiastical authority**

Competitor analysis proves these have **no enforcement**, no treaty recognition, and no international standing.
(See *ROS vs 15 Providers*, Section 1.)

Courts simply disregard these claims.

2.5 They Use Adversarial, Confrontational Strategies

Pseudo-law practitioners go into court attempting to:

- indict judges,
- demand oaths and bonds,
- argue procedural defects,
- assert the court has no jurisdiction.

This triggers the predictable system response:

- contempt charges,
- civil restraint orders,
- cost orders,
- warrants,
- aggressive enforcement.

The *Competitor Analysis Report (Aug 2025)* documents that these adversarial strategies expose individuals to severe sanctions without delivering remedy.

2.6 They Use DIY Methods With No Shield Against Retaliation

Groups like:

- Robin Hood

- Clive Edwards
- Anna von Reitz
- Brandon J. Williams
- Simon Goldberg
- Baron David Ward

all promote **DIY templates**.

Ecclesia Law's research shows DIY remedy is the *single highest risk factor* for prosecution or financial ruin.
(See *Analysis vs 15 Providers*, executive summary.)

Individuals entering court without jurisdictional protection are exposed — and the system exploits that vulnerability.

---

3. Why God's-Law Movements Also Fail

Some groups claim divine law outranks man-made law, or that invoking Christ, scripture, or Biblical jurisdiction defeats Crown authority.

Ecclesia Law's research shows:

3.1 Courts treat God's law as personal belief, not jurisdiction

Even where biblical language is used effectively (as in the Edie Cornick story), it is **unpredictable**, unfacilitated, and not structurally enforceable.
(See *Protection of Christ at Court* transcript.)

3.2 No court is required to recognise spiritual or scriptural claims

A statutory judge hearing a criminal or tax matter is bound by:

- statute,
- civil procedure,
- case law,
- Crown authority,

—not theological argument.

3.3 Standing under Christ is not a jurisdiction recognised under modern treaty law

Unlike ecclesiastical envoy status under ROS, scriptural declarations carry **no treaty-level recognition** under the Vienna Convention.

Thus spiritual claims cannot displace statutory jurisdiction.

---

4. Why the Republic of Old Souls' Approach Works (Where Others Fail)

ROS does not fight the system.

It **steps outside it** using internationally recognised mechanisms.

Based on the comparative analyses in your files, ROS succeeds because:

4.1 ROS Uses Structural Jurisdictional Repositioning

ROS displaces Crown jurisdiction using:

- ecclesiastical envoy status
- Montevideo-compliant nationhood
- executor authority over the estate
- trust law (98-Series International Grantor Trusts)

This is not theoretical — it is documented.
(See *Jurisdictional Sovereignty Comparative Analysis*.)

Pseudo-law attempts to *declare* sovereignty.
ROS **establishes** sovereignty through recognised legal instruments.

ECCLESIA LAW

4.2 ROS Does Not Challenge the Crown — It Changes Capacity

Inside Crown jurisdiction:

- you are the debtor,
- the citizen,
- the body corporate's presumed agent.

Under ROS:

- you are the **General Executor**,
- an ecclesiastical envoy,
- no longer the agent of the body corporate.

This changes everything.

And it is doctrinally supported in English law.
(See *Doctrine of Legal Persons & Agency Between Natural and Artificial Persons*.)

4.3 ROS Provides Peaceful, Non-Adversarial Remedies

This is the key distinction.

Pseudo-law fights.
ROS **administers**.

ROS resolves:

- **Debt** — through credit recoupment (Infinite Money).
  (See *Credit Recoupment Technical Report*.)

- **Mortgages** — through voiding, discharge, and securities recoupment.
  (See *ROS vs 15 Providers*, Mortgage sections.)

- **Tax & Council Tax** — through estate-based settlement via AFP.
- **Courts** — through jurisdictional immunity, not confrontation.

ECCLESIA LAW

4.4 ROS Remedies Are Fully Facilitated — Not DIY

“DIY remedy” is the Achilles heel of every pseudo-law movement.

ROS, by contrast, has:

- 80+ professional staff,
- licensed EROs for tax filings,
- international trustees,
- formal ecclesiastical jurisdiction,
- documented recoupment results.

(See *Comparative Analysis vs Fifteen Providers*.)

This infrastructure makes the difference between:

No theory
Operational remedy

4.5 ROS Is Recognised Under International Law

Pseudo-law movements have **zero treaty recognition**.

ROS uses:

- Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations
- Montevideo Convention (nationhood criteria)
- Ecclesiastical sovereignty
- Executor authority under English trust/probate law

Thus the Crown cannot simply override ROS status without breaching international norms.

This is the single greatest weakness in pseudo-law systems — and ROS’s greatest strength.

ECCLESIA LAW

5. Conclusion — Why Pseudo-Law Fails and ROS Succeeds

Pseudo-law fails because it:

- misreads legal doctrine,
- misunderstands jurisdiction,
- attempts to defeat the system inside its own walls,
- uses DIY templates without shield,
- provokes retaliation,
- lacks international recognition,
- offers no structured remedy.

The Republic of Old Souls succeeds because it:

- lawfully severs agency,
- changes legal capacity,
- elevates jurisdiction peacefully,
- uses ecclesiastical and international law,
- provides full facilitation,
- avoids confrontation with the Crown,
- administers the estate rather than fighting the system.

Where pseudo-law collapses, the Envoy Protocol and Great Escape Protocols provide **peaceful, lawful, structurally recognised pathways** to:

- debt relief,
- tax and council tax settlement,
- mortgage void/discharge/recoupment,
- bank payment recoupment,
- jurisdictional protection,
- personal and financial sovereignty.

The difference is simple:

Pseudo-law attempts to escape the Matrix by shouting at it.
ROS escapes the Matrix by leaving its jurisdiction entirely.

ECCLESIA LAW

6. Commerce as the Monopoly Game: Debt, Taxes, and the Peaceful Solution

Most problems in commerce—the "Monopoly Board" of modern economic life—are **debt-related**. Every mortgage, loan, tax bill, and utility charge is a manifestation of one underlying reality:
The system runs on debt instruments created from your own credit.

The Root Cause

- The birth certificate creates a **body corporate decedent estate**, which statutory systems treat as a debtor persona.
- Taxes, fines, and liabilities attach to this estate, not to the living soul—but enforcement reaches you through presumed agency.
- Every transaction in commerce is structured to keep you in the debtor role, paying for obligations that were already prepaid under **House Joint Resolution 192 (1933)**.

The Peaceful Solution

Rather than fighting the system (which pseudo-law movements attempt and fail), the lawful remedy is:

1. **Recoup Abandoned Credit**
    - Banks act as nominees under **IRS Publication 1212**, filing 1099-OID forms to capture credits generated by your signature.
    - If you do not file, the IRS treats these credits as abandoned property.
    - The remedy is to file as creditor through a **98-Series International Grantor Trust**, correcting nominee misreporting and reclaiming what is yours.
2. **Discharge Debts and Taxes**
    - Use fiduciary filings to offset obligations attached to the body corporate estate.
    - This includes mortgages, income tax, council tax, and commercial debts.
3. **Recoup the Discharge**
    - After discharging liabilities, recoup the credits through lawful refund claims.
    - This creates a **spend-and-recoup cycle**, turning debt into liquidity.
4. **Transform Debt into Abundance**
    - By reclaiming OID credits and routing refunds through fiduciary trusts, members convert the system's debt architecture into a source of financial sovereignty.

- This is not evasion—it is correction. It restores the rightful balance by recognising the true source of credit: **you**.

Spend and Recoup Cycles

- **Step 1:** Identify the instrument (loan, mortgage, tax bill).
- **Step 2:** File corrective forms (1099-OID, 1096, 1041) through the trust.
- **Step 3:** Discharge the obligation using fiduciary authority.
- **Step 4:** Recoup the discharge via refund claims.
- **Step 5:** Repeat—each cycle converts liabilities into assets.

Turning the Monopoly Board

The commercial system is designed as a perpetual debt game. The banks hold the “properties,” the courts enforce the “rules,” and the tax authorities collect the “rent.”
By stepping into the creditor role and using fiduciary structures, you stop playing as a pawn and start operating as the banker—lawfully, peacefully, and within the system’s own rules.